

The University of Texas at Austin Electrical and Computer Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

Fall 2022

INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER VISION

Atlas Wang Assistant Professor, The University of Texas at Austin

Visual Informatics Group@UT Austin https://vita-group.github.io/

Many slides here were adapted from CMU 16-385

Finally: Motion and Video!

Tracking objects, video analysis, low level motion



٠	+	+	+	٠	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	٠	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	٠	+	+	+	٠	٠	٠	+
+	+	+	+	٠	*	٠	+.	+	+			¥	٠	+
+	٠	+	+	t	*	*	*-	+	+	٠	*	÷	٠	+
+	+	+	+	1	*	*	+	+	+	+-	*	1	٠	+
+	٠	+	+	1	*	+	+-	+	-	+	-	1	٠	+
+	+	+	+	1	*	+	+	+	+-	+**	-	1	٠	+
+	٠	+	+	+	*	*	+-	+	+-	*	-	1	٠	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	***	+	+	+-	**	*	+	+	+
+	٠	+	+	+	+	+	+-	+	+-	+	+	٠	٠	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	٠	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+



Motion vs. Stereo: Similarities/Differences

• Both involve solving

- Correspondence: disparities, motion vectors
- Reconstruction

• Motion:

- Uses velocity: consecutive frames must be close to get good approximate time derivative
- 3d movement between camera and scene not necessarily single 3d rigid transformation

• Whereas with stereo:

- Could have any disparity value
- View pair separated by a single 3d transformation

Today We Focus on: Optical Flow

Problem Definition

Given two consecutive image frames, estimate the motion of each pixel





I(x, y, t) I(x, y, t')Estimate the motion (flow) between these two consecutive images



Visual Example





Key Assumptions

(unique to optical flow & different from generally estimating two image view transforms!)

Color Constancy

(Brightness constancy for intensity images)

Implication: allows for pixel to pixel comparison (not image features)

Small Motion

(pixels only move a little bit)

Implication: linearization of the brightness constancy constraint

Approach



Look for nearby pixels with the same color (small motion) (color constancy)

Brightness constancy

Scene point moving through image sequence



Brightness constancy

Scene point moving through image sequence



Brightness constancy

Scene point moving through image sequence



Assumption:Brightness of the point will remain the same

Brightness constancy

Scene point moving through image sequence



Assumption:Brightness of the point will remain the same

$$I(x(t), y(t), t) = C$$

constant

Assumption 2 Assumption 2



Assumption 2 Small motion



Assumption 2 Small motion



Assumption 2 Small motion



These assumptions yield the ...



Equation is not obvious. Where does this come from?

 $I(x + u\delta t, y + v\delta t, t + \delta t) = I(x, y, t)$

For small space-time step, brightness of a point is the same

 $I(x + u\delta t, y + v\delta t, t + \delta t) = I(x, y, t)$

For small space-time step, brightness of a point is the same

Insight:

If the time step is really small, we can *linearize* the intensity function

$$I(x + u\delta t, y + v\delta t, t + \delta t) = I(x, y, t)$$

Multivariable Taylor Series Expansion (First order approximation, three variables)

$$\begin{split} I(x,y,t) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \delta y + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \delta t &= I(x,y,t) & \text{assuming small} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \delta y + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \delta t &= 0 & \text{divide by } \delta t \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{d x}{d t} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d t} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0 & \text{Brightness Constancy} \\ \hline \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0$$

Brightness Constancy Equation

$$I_{x}u + I_{y}v + I_{t} = 0$$

shorthand notation

$$abla I^ op oldsymbol{v} + I_t = 0$$
 vector form

(1 x 2) (2 x 1)

What do the terms of the brightness constancy equation represent?

 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$

What do the term of the brightness constancy equation represent?



What do the term of the brightness constancy equation represent?



What do the term of the brightness constancy equation represent?



How do you compute these terms?

 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$

$$egin{array}{ll} I_x = rac{\partial I}{\partial x} & I_y = rac{\partial I}{\partial y} \ \end{array}$$
 spatial derivative

 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$

$$egin{array}{ll} I_x = rac{\partial I}{\partial x} & I_y = rac{\partial I}{\partial y} \ \end{array}$$
 spatial derivative

Forward difference Sobel filter Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

...

 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$

$$egin{array}{ll} I_x = rac{\partial I}{\partial x} & I_y = rac{\partial I}{\partial y} \ \end{array}$$
 spatial derivative

$$I_t = \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}$$
 temporal derivative

Forward difference Sobel filter Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

. . .

 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$

$$egin{array}{ll} I_x = rac{\partial I}{\partial x} & I_y = rac{\partial I}{\partial y} \ \end{array}$$
 spatial derivative

Forward difference Sobel filter Derivative-of-Gaussian filter $I_t = \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}$ temporal derivative

frame differencing

...

Frame differencing



(example of a forward difference)

Example: t3 x 3 patch -10 10 10 10 10 10

у 🖡







Х



 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$

. . .



Forward difference How do you compute this? frame differencing Sobel filter Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$



Forward difference Sobel filter Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

. . .

We need to solve for this! (this is the unknown in the optical flow problem) frame differencing

 $I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$



Forward difference Sobel filter Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

. . .

(u,v)Solution lies on a line frame differencing

Cannot be found uniquely with a single constraint



The solution cannot be determined uniquely with a single constraint (a single pixel)



We need at least _____ equations to solve for 2 unknowns.



Where do we get more equations (constraints)?

Horn-Schunck Optical Flow (1981)

brightness constancy

small motion

'smooth' flow (flow can vary from pixel to pixel)

global method

(dense)

method of differences

Lucas-Kanade

Optical Flow (1981)

'constant' flow (flow is constant for all pixels)

local method (sparse) Where do we get more equations (constraints)?

$I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$

Assume that the surrounding patch (say 5x5) has **'constant flow'**

Flow is locally smooth

Neighboring pixels have same displacement

Using a 5 x 5 image patch, gives us 25 equations

$$I_x(\boldsymbol{p}_1)u + I_y(\boldsymbol{p}_1)v = -I_t(\boldsymbol{p}_1)$$
$$I_x(\boldsymbol{p}_2)u + I_y(\boldsymbol{p}_2)v = -I_t(\boldsymbol{p}_2)$$
$$\vdots$$

In General, How Many Solutions?

$$I_x(p_{25})u + I_y(p_{25})v = -I_t(p_{25})v$$

Equivalent to solving:



where the summation is over each pixel p in patch P

$$x = (A^ op A)^{-1}A^ op b$$
 Called "Pseudo Inverse"

When is this solvable?

 $A^{\top}A\hat{x} = A^{\top}b$

When is this solvable?

 $A^{\top}A\hat{x} = A^{\top}b$

 $A^{\mathsf{T}}A$ should be invertible

 $A^{T}A$ should not be too small λ_1 and λ_2 should not be too small

 $A^{\mathsf{T}}A$ should be well conditioned λ_1/λ_2 should not be too large (λ_1 =larger eigenvalue) Where have you seen this before?

$$A^{\top}A = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$$

Where have you seen this before?

$$A^{\top}A = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$$

Harris Corner Detector!

Where have you seen this before?

$$A^{\top}A = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$$

Harris Corner Detector!

What are the implications?

Implications

- Corners are when $\lambda 1$, $\lambda 2$ are big; this is also when Lucas-Kanade optical flow works best
- Corners are regions with two different directions of gradient (at least)
- Corners are good places to compute flow!
- That is why Lucas-Kanade flow is considered "local/sparse"

What happens when you have no 'corners'?

You want to compute optical flow. What happens if the image patch contains only a line?



Horn-Schunck Optical Flow (1981)

brightness constancy

small motion

Lucas-Kanade Optical Flow (1981)

method of differences

'smooth' flow (flow can vary from pixel to pixel)

'constant' flow

(flow is constant for all pixels)

global method (dense) local method (sparse)

Smoothness

most objects in the world are rigid or deform elastically moving together coherently we expect optical flow fields to be smooth

Key idea (of Horn-Schunck optical flow)

Enforce brightness constancy

Enforce smooth flow field

to compute optical flow

Key idea (of Horn-Schunck optical flow)



to compute optical flow

Enforce brightness constancy

$$I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$$

For every pixel,
$$\min_{u,v} \left[I_x u_{ij} + I_y v_{ij} + I_t \right]^2$$

Enforce brightness constancy

$$I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$$

For every pixel,

$$\min_{u,v} \left[I_x u_{ij} + I_y v_{ij} + I_t \right]^2$$
Lazy notation for $I_x(i,j)$

Key idea (of Horn-Schunck optical flow)

Enforce brightness constancy



to compute optical flow

Enforce smooth flow field



u-component of flow

Which flow field optimizes the objective?
$$\min_{u}(u_{i,j} - u_{i+1,j})^2$$



big

Key idea (of Horn-Schunck optical flow)

Enforce brightness constancy

Enforce smooth flow field

to compute optical flow

bringing it all together...

Horn-Schunck optical flow

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}} \sum_{i,i} \left\{ E_s(i,j) + \lambda E_d(i,j) \right\}$

HS optical flow objective function

Brightness constancy

$$E_d(i,j) = \left[I_x u_{ij} + I_y v_{ij} + I_t\right]^2$$

Smoothness

$$E_s(i,j) = \frac{1}{4} \left[(u_{ij} - u_{i+1,j})^2 + (u_{ij} - u_{i,j+1})^2 + (v_{ij} - v_{i+1,j})^2 + (v_{ij} - v_{i,j+1})^2 \right]$$



How do we solve this minimization problem?

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}}\sum_{i,j}\left\{E_s(i,j)+\lambda E_d(i,j)\right\}$

Compute partial derivative, derive update equations (iterative gradient decent!)

Final Algorithm (after some math)

- 1. Precompute image gradients I_x I_y
- 2. Precompute temporal gradients I_t
- 3. Initialize flow field $egin{array}{ccc} u=0 & & v=0 & & v=0 \end{array}$
- 4. While not converged

Compute flow field updates for each pixel:

$$\hat{u}_{kl} = \bar{u}_{kl} - \frac{I_x \bar{u}_{kl} + I_y \bar{v}_{kl} + I_t}{\lambda^{-1} + I_x^2 + I_y^2} I_x \qquad \hat{v}_{kl} = \bar{v}_{kl} - \frac{I_x \bar{u}_{kl} + I_y \bar{v}_{kl} + I_t}{\lambda^{-1} + I_x^2 + I_y^2} I_y$$

Just 8 lines of code!

Optical flow used for feature tracking on a drone





The University of Texas at Austin Electrical and Computer Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering